



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,467	09/17/2001		Henry J. Riblet	81451CIP 9032		
23685	7590	08/05/2002				
		RIEGSMAN	EXAMINER			
665 FRANKLIN STREET FRAMINGHAM, MA 01702				MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS		
		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3632	-	
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T)
Office Assistant Community	09/955,467	RIBLET, HENRY J.	1
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Naschica S Morrison	3632	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ars on the cover shet with the	correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S	September 2001 .		
_	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on Irom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	olodion requirement.		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/17/01</u> is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)	, priority under 00 0.5.0. 33 120	anu/UF 121.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for serial number 09/955,467, Improved Bracket Assembly Lock, filed on September 17, 2001. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Title

Examiner wishes to bring to applicant's attention that the title of the invention as listed on the Declaration and Transmittal Letter (dated 12/31/00) is "Bracket Assembly Lock"; but on the Information Disclosure Statement and page 1 of the Specification it is "Improved Bracket Assembly Lock". Correction for purposes of consistency is suggested.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7, line 8 insert --that-- after "contact surface". Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 110 on page 16, line 10. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference signs not mentioned in the description: 22, Art Unit: 3632

45, 112, and 140. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Note: Claims 5-11 have been rejected considering the subcombination of the lock only.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,970,277 to Riblet in view of U.S. Patent 4,597,471 to Anderson and further in view of U.S. Patent 878,455 to Carter. Regarding claims 1-19, Riblet discloses a lock (11) comprising: a pair of side members (24, 25) pivotally coupled to an angle bracket (10) by a fulcrum bar (32); an inner jaw (27) and outer jaw (26) coupled to the side members (24, 25); wherein the inner jaw (27) is mounted beneath the plane defined by the fulcrum bar and an outer jaw (26). Riblet does not disclose one of the inner or outer jaws having a contact surface that contacts the upright (U1) over a planar region (i.e. a flat contact surface) or the one of the inner or outer jaw being capable of pivoting relative to the side members (24, 25). Anderson discloses an apparatus for mounting on an upright (40) comprising an outer jaw (106) having a flat extended

Application/Control Number: 09/955,467

, Art Unit: 3632

contact surface with a plurality of surface irregularities, wherein the surface irregularities include rasps (at 106) or ripples (at 158), and wherein the rasps include tips which are coplanar. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the surface of one of the inner and outer jaws to be flat and include rasps or ripples because one would have been motivated to provide a means for gripping the upright as taught by Anderson (col. 9, lines 64 ff.). Riblet in view of Anderson discloses the lock as applied above but does not disclose one or both of the inner and outer jaws being capable of pivoting relative to the side members. Carter discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1) for mounting on an upright (U1) having an outer jaw (15) pivotally mounted on a bar (14) coupled to a pair of side members (12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified one or both of the inner and outer jaws to be pivotally mounted to the side members by a bar because one would have been motivated to permit a rolling roughened surface to provide a greater degree of frictional gripping action as taught by Carter (lines 60-64).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

1416296 and 2216912 to Hoitsma; 4103856, 5941486, and 6273381 to Riblet The above references disclose lock/bracket assemblies relevant to the present invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,467

Art Unit: 3632

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Naschica S. Morrison, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0228. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine telephone number for the Technology Center is (703) 872-9326 (formal amendments) or (703) 872-9327 (After Final amendment/communication).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at (703) 872-9325.

Maschica S. Morrison Patent Examiner Art Unit 3632 7/26/02

ANIIA KING PRIMARY EXAMINER